

1 BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY 2 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 5 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. X48 OAH No. STANLEY D. BLONDEK 6 9085 Trumbauer Way **DEFAULT DECISION** 7 Elk Grove, CA 95758 AND ORDER Respondent. 8 [Gov. Code, § 11520] 9 FINDINGS OF FACT 10 On or about April 17, 2002, Complainant Thomas S. O'Connor, in his 11 1. official capacity as Executive Officer of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer 12 Affairs, State of California, filed Statement of Issues No. X48 against Stanley D. Blondek 13 14 (Respondent) before the Board of Psychology (Board). On or about January 13, 1992, Respondent filed an application with the 15 2. Board, dated January 13, 1992, to obtain Psychologist's license. 16 17 3. On or about May 29, 2001, the Board issued a letter denying Respondent's 18 application for a Psychologist's license. On or about July 12, 2001, Respondent appealed the 19 Board's denial of his application and requested a hearing. 20 4. On or about April 17, 2002, Mary Laackmann, an employee of the Board, 21 served by Certified Mail a copy of the Statement of Issues No. X48; Statement to Respondent; Notice of Defense (two copies); Request for Discovery; the Board's disciplinary guidelines; and 22 23 Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address on the 24 application form, which was and is 9085 Trumbauer Way, Elk Grove, CA 95758. A copy of the Statement of Issues, the related documents, and the Declaration of Service by Certified Mail are 25 attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and are incorporated herein by reference. 26 5. Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under 27

the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

6. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:

"(a) The withdrawal of an application for a license after it has been filed with a board in the department shall not, unless the board has consented in writing to such withdrawal, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a proceeding against the applicant for the denial of the license upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order denying the license upon any such ground."

- 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Statement of Issues, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Statement of Issues No. X48.
 - 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; and where the burden of proof is on the respondent to establish that the respondent is entitled to the agency action sought, the agency may act without taking evidence."
- 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in Exhibit "A," finds that the allegations, and each of them, in Statement of Issues No. X48 are true.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent has subjected his application for a Psychologist's license to denial.

l	· · ·	
1	2. Service of Statement of Issues No. X48 and related documents was proper	
2	and in accordance with the law.	
3	3. The Board has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
4	4. The Board is authorized to deny Respondent's application for licensure	
5	based upon the following violations alleged in the Statement of Issues:	
6	a. Bus. & Prof. Code section 480 (a) (2) [Dishonesty, Fraud and/or	
7	Deceit];	
8	b. Bus. & Prof. Code section 480 (a) (3) [Act Which, if Done by	
9	Licentiate, Would Be Grounds for Discipline];	
10	c. Bus. & Prof. Code section 2960 (j), [Gross Negligence];	
11	d. Bus. & Prof. Code section 2960 (p), [Functioning Outside the Field	
12	of Competence].	
13		•
ا 4	<u>ORDER</u>	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED that the application of Respondent Stanley D. Blondek is	
16	hereby denied.	
17	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may	
8	serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on	
19	within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion	
20	may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the	
21	statute.	
22	This Decision shall become effective on August 17, 2002.	
23	It is so ORDERED July 18, 2002	
24	NII.	
25	FOR THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY	
26	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS PAMELA HARMELL, Ph.D., VICE-PRESIDENT (ACTING PRESIDENT)	ENT
27	Attachments:	-
- 11	, $oldsymbol{L}$	

28 Exhibit A - Statement of Issues No.X48, Related Documents, and Declaration of Service by Certified Mail

Exhibit A

(Code).

4. Section 2960 of the Code states:

The board may refuse to issue any registration or license, or may issue a registration or license with terms and conditions, or may suspend or revoke the registration or license of any registrant or licensee if the applicant, registrant, or licensee has been guilty of unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a psychologist or psychological assistant.
- (b) Use of any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or dangerous drug, or any alcoholic beverage to an extent or in a manner dangerous to himself or herself, any other person, or the public, or to an extent that this use impairs his or her ability to perform the work of a psychologist with safety to the public.
- (c) Fraudulently or neglectfully misrepresenting the type or status of license or registration actually held.
- (d) Impersonating another person holding a psychology license or allowing another person to use his or her license or registration.
- (e) Using fraud or deception in applying for a license or registration or in passing the examination provided for in this chapter.
- (f) Paying, or offering to pay, accepting, or soliciting any consideration, compensation, or remuneration, whether monetary or otherwise, for the referral of clients.
 - (g) Violating Section 17500.
- (h) Willful, unauthorized communication of information received in professional confidence.
- (i) Violating any rule of professional conduct promulgated by the board and set forth in regulations duly adopted under this chapter.
 - (j) Being grossly negligent in the practice of his or her profession.
 - (k) Violating any of the provisions of this chapter or regulations duly adopted

28 ///

thereunder.

- (l) The aiding or abetting of any person to engage in the unlawful practice of psychology.
- (m) The suspension, revocation or imposition of probationary conditions by another state or country of a license or certificate to practice psychology or as a psychological assistant issued by that state or country to a person also holding a license or registration issued under this chapter if the act for which the disciplinary action was taken constitutes a violation of this section.
 - (n) The commission of any dishonest, corrupt, or fraudulent act.
- (o) Any act of sexual abuse, or sexual relations with a patient or former patient within two years following termination of therapy, or sexual misconduct that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a psychologist or psychological assistant or registered psychologist.
- (p) Functioning outside of his or her particular field or fields of competence as established by his or her education, training, and experience.
- (q) Willful failure to submit, on behalf of an applicant for licensure, verification of supervised experience to the board.
 - (r) Repeated acts of negligence.
 - 5. Section 480 of the Code states:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another; or
- "(3) Done any act which if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

"The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.

- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he has been convicted of a felony if he has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Section 4852.01 and following of the Penal Code or that he has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- "(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for such license."
- 6. Section 2936 of the Code provides, in part, that the Board shall apply the American Psychological Association's (APA) Code of Ethics as its standards of ethical conduct relating to the practice of psychology.
 - 7. Section 2964.6 of the Code states:

An administrative disciplinary decision that imposes terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee who is being placed on probation pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation.

8. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

5

8 9

11 12

10

14 15

13

16

17 18

19

20 21

22.

23

24

25 26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Dishonesty, Fraud, and/or Deceit) [Bus. & Prof. Code Section 480 (a) (2)]

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480 (a) (2) of the Code, in that Respondent testified falsely, under oath, in a Santa Cruz County Superior Court. misrepresented to that court his credentials as an expert, and misrepresented the results of psychological testing of a minor client, on which Respondent testified, in court, that he based his expert opinion.

On or about January 13, 1992, Respondent applied to the Board of a. Psychology for a license as a psychologist, claiming a Bachelor's degree in "Liberal Studies," a Master's degree in 1990, and a Doctor of Psychology degree in 1991, all from Newport University, a correspondence school, and accumulation of 3,000 hours of supervised experience in psychology between November of 1991 and January of 19921. Respondent did not complete a master's thesis nor doctoral dissertation as part of his graduate studies with Newport University. Respondent was employed by El Dorado County as a substance abuse counselor at the County Juvenile Hall between November of 1992 and September of 1993. In or about September of 1993, Respondent was terminated from his position with El Dorado County for failure to pass probation due to job performance. In or about November of 1993, Respondent became employed by the California Youth Authority (CYA), N. A. Chaderjian Youth Correctional Facility. Stockton, CA, as an unlicensed Clinical Staff Psychologist, with permanent status contingent upon acquiring a license as a psychologist. Respondent represented to the CYA that he left El Dorado County because he was over-qualified for the position he held there. Respondent sat for, and failed, the psychologist written examination for licensure in 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996 and 1997. In or about June of 1999, Respondent testified in Superior Court for the County of Santa Cruz in the matter of The People of the State of California vs. Donald Gerald Schmidt, Case No. J-12696. In or about October of 1999, Respondent was terminated from employment with CYA

^{1.} There are approximately 1,440 hours in a two-month period, making inexplicable Respondent's claim of 3,000 accumulated hours of supervised experience in such time period.

due to his failure to acquire a psychologist's license.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

b. Respondent testified under oath in the matter of People v. Schmidt, cited above, as a purported expert in the field of psychological treatment of juvenile offenders. In sworn testimony, Respondent claimed to have completed in excess of 26,000 hours of relevant experience and education during the period between 1988 and 1991.² Respondent testified on behalf of Mr. Schmidt, for whom a hearing was being held to determine his date of release as an adult from CYA, where he was being held following juvenile adjudication for the rape and murder of a three-year-old girl when he was sixteen years old. Respondent testified that, in his expert opinion, Mr. Schmidt was no longer a danger to society and should not be held after his twenty-fifth birthday pursuant to statutory authority. Respondent testified further that his opinion and professional conclusions were contained in a report Respondent prepared relative to Mr. Schmidt in or about August of 1998. Respondent testified that his report and conclusions were supported by psychological tests Respondent had administered to Mr. Schmidt.³ Respondent asserted, under oath, that the tests showed that Mr. Schmidt did not have "sadistic features" (which would make him a danger to society). The tests in question, however, were administered after Respondent had prepared his report on Mr. Schmidt. Following a court order to produce the computer-generated narrative evaluations of said tests, Respondent thereafter failed to produce the evaluations, in violation of the court's order. When Respondent finally produced the narrative evaluations in subsequent contempt proceedings, the evaluations indicated that Mr. Schmidt does indeed have "sadistic features," contrary to Respondent's testimony about the test results.

c. Respondent further testified in *People v. Schmidt* that he had been qualified previously as an expert in six separate proceedings in six different counties, which

^{2.} Respondent claimed to have worked or studied a total of approximately 26,134 hours during a three-year time period, where there are 26,280 hours available, leaving 146 hours total for Respondent to have slept, eaten and engaged in other basics of life over a three-year period.

^{3.} Mr. Schmidt was administered an "MMPI" psychological test on November 2, 1998, and the "Millon" psychological test in or about October of 1998. Both tests are utilized as psychological tools to evaluate human behavior.

6

7 8

10 11

9

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

matters Respondent cited on his resume as qualifying him as an expert. On cross-examination, Respondent admitted that he had never testified in five of the six matters he referenced, and that he could not identify the sixth case.

- On or about June 8, 1999, Deputy District Attorney Ariadne Symons, County of Santa Cruz, in the matter of People v. Schmidt, cited supra, filed a Motion to Strike the Testimony of Dr. Stan Blondek. In said motion, the people argued that, based on his testimony, Respondent Stan Blondek is "a charlatan," and moreover, that Respondent was incompetent as an expert witness, that his testimony under oath was dishonest, and that Respondent had failed to produce documentation (test narratives) which he claimed would support his opinion(s), despite the court's order to do so. The people based their motion and argument, inter-alia, on Respondent's testimony, cited above, regarding the number of hours he worked and studied between 1988 and 1991, his testimony regarding his psychological testing of Mr. Schmidt and Respondent's August 1998 report on same, as well as Respondent's admissions regarding his lack of experience as an expert testifying in court. On or about June 8, 1999, the Honorable Judge Thomas E. Kelly, Superior Court for the County of Santa Cruz, held that Respondent is "neither competent nor credible as an expert witness." Judge Kelly stated further. for the record, "Never in all of the years I have been on the bench have I ever seen a less competent expert witness." Judge Kelly scheduled a contempt proceeding for Respondent thereafter.
- e. On or about August 8, 1999, Judge Kelly conducted a contempt hearing for Respondent, in which Judge Kelly found "beyond a reasonable doubt" that Respondent had wilfully and deliberately withheld discovery to which the People of the State of California had a right, and had selectively responded to the court's order seeking documentation relative to Respondent's testimony in the matter of *People v. Schmidt*, cited *supra*, with intent to frustrate the processes of the court. Judge Kelly sentenced Respondent to two days in jail and a monetary fine, with the jail sentence to begin immediately. Respondent did not contest the court's

1	findings.⁴
2 3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION (Act which, if done by licentiate, would be grounds for suspension or revocation) [Bus. & Prof. Code Section 480 (a) (3)]
4	10. Complainant re-alleges paragraph 9 (a) through (e), above, and
5	incorporates it by reference as if fully set forth at this point.
6	11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480 (a) (3) of
7	the Code, in that the commission of a dishonest or corrupt act, as alleged in the First Cause for
8	Denial of Application, above, would be grounds for the suspension or revocation of a licentiate's
9	certificate.
10	THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION (Gross Negligence)
11	[Bus. & Prof. Code Section 2960 (j)]
12	12. Complainant re-alleges paragraph 9 (a) through (e), above, and
13	incorporates it by reference as if fully set forth at this point.
14	13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 2960 (j) of the
15	Code, in that Respondent's sworn testimony regarding Donald Gerald Schmidt's MMPA and
16	Millon test results, and the level of danger Mr. Schmidt presents to society, constituted an
17	extreme departure from the applicable standard of care.
18 19	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION (Functioning Outside the Field of Competence)
	[Bus. & Prof. Code Section 2960 (p)]
20	14. Complainant re-alleges paragraph 9 (a) through (e), above, and
21	incorporates it by reference as if fully set forth at this point.
22	15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 2960 (p) of the
23	Code, in that Respondent presented himself to a court of law as an expert witness in the field of
24	clinical psychology when, in fact, he was not competent to do so.
25	///
26	·
27	4. See The People of the State of California v. Stan Kuhio Blondek, Superior Court of
28	California, County of Santa Cruz, August 8, 1999.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Psychology issue a decision:

1. Denying the application of Stanley D. Blondek for a Psychologist's license; and

2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: April 17, 2002

THOMAS S. O'CONNOR

Executive Officer Board of Psychology

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California GAIL M. HEPPELL, Supervising	
3	Deputy Attorney General STEPHEN M. BOREMAN, State Bar No. 161498	
4	Deputy Attorney General	
5	California Department of Justice 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
7	Telephone: (916) 445-8383 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247	
	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFORE T BOARD OF PSYC	CHOLOGY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CON STATE OF CAL	
11		
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. X48
13	STANLEY D. BLONDEK 9085 Trumbauer Way	REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY
14	9085 Trumbauer Way Elk Grove, CA 95758	[Gov. Code § 11507.6]
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	TO RESPONDENT:	
18	Under section 11507.6 of the Govern	ment Code of the State of California, parties
19	to an administrative hearing, including the Complain	ant, are entitled to certain information
20	concerning the opposing party's case. A copy of the	provisions of section 11507.6 of the
21	Government Code concerning such rights is included	d among the papers served.
22	PURSUANT TO SECTION 11507.6	OF THE GOVERNMENT CODE, YOU
23	ARE HEREBY REQUESTED TO:	
24	1. Provide the names and addresses of w	ritnesses to the extent known to the
25	Respondent, including, but not limited to, those inter	nded to be called to testify at the hearing, and
26	2. Provide an opportunity for the Compl	ainant to inspect and make a copy of any of
27	the following in the possession or custody or under c	control of the Respondent:
28	///	

- a. A statement of a person, other than the Respondent, named in the initial administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the Respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;
- b. A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to another party or persons;
- c. Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the Respondent and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;
- d. All writings, including but not limited to reports of mental, physical and blood examinations and things which the Respondent now proposes to offer in evidence;
- e. Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence, including but not limited to, any patient or hospital records pertaining to the persons named in the pleading;
- f. Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the Respondent pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this Request for Discovery, "statements" include written statements by the person, signed, or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED that nothing in this Request for Discovery should be deemed to authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as attorney's

work product.

Your response to this Request for Discovery should be directed to the undersigned attorney for the Complainant at the address on the first page of this Request for Discovery within 30 days after service of the Statement of Issues.

Failure without substantial justification to comply with this Request for Discovery may subject the Respondent to sanctions pursuant to sections 11507.7 and 11455.10 to 11455.30 of the Government Code.

DATED: April <u>\$</u>, 2002

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

Stephen M. Boreman Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California GAIL M. HEPPELL, Supervising	
3	Deputy Attorney General STEPHEN M. BOREMAN, State Bar No. 161498	
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	• •
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-8383	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-2247	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE '	THE
10	BOARD OF PSYO DEPARTMENT OF CON	SUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CAL	JIFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. X48
13	STANLEY D. BLONDEK	STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT
14	9085 Trumbauer Way Elk Grove, CA 95758	[Gov. Code §§ 11504, 11505(b)]
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	TO RESPONDENT:	
18	Enclosed is a copy of the Statement o	f Issues that has been filed with the Board of
19	Psychology of the Department of Consumer Affairs	
20	•	signed by you or on your behalf is delivered
21	or mailed to the Board, represented by Deputy Attorn	ney General Stephen M. Boreman, within
22	fifteen (15) days after a copy of the Statement of Issu	nes was personally served on you or mailed
23	to you, you will be deemed to have waived your righ	t to a hearing in this matter and the Board
24	may proceed upon the Statement of Issues without a	hearing and may take action thereon as
25	provided by law.	
26	The request for hearing may be made	by delivering or mailing one of the enclosed
27	forms entitled "Notice of Defense," or by delivering	or mailing a Notice of Defense as provided
28	in section 11506 of the Government Code to	

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

13

14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

> 26 27

28

Stephen M. Boreman **Deputy Attorney General** 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94244-2550.

You may, but need not, be represented by counsel at any or all stages of these proceedings.

The enclosed Notice of Defense, if signed and filed with the Board, shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the Statement of Issues, but you will not be permitted to raise any objection to the form of the Statement of Issues unless you file a further Notice of Defense as provided in section 11506 of the Government Code within fifteen (15) days after service of the Statement of Issues on you.

If you file any Notice of Defense within the time permitted, a hearing will be held on the charges made in the Statement of Issues.

The hearing may be postponed for good cause. If you have good cause, you are obliged to notify the Office of Administrative Hearings, 560 J Street, Suite 340/360, Sacramento, California 95814, within ten (10) working days after you discover the good cause. Failure to notify the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days will deprive you of a postponement.

Copies of sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 of the Government Code are enclosed.

If you desire the names and addresses of witnesses or an opportunity to inspect and copy the items mentioned in section 11507.6 of the Government Code in the possession, custody or control of the Board you may send a Request for Discovery to the above designated Deputy Attorney General.

NOTICE REGARDING STIPULATED SETTLEMENTS

It may be possible to avoid the time, expense and uncertainties involved in an administrative hearing by disposing of this matter through a stipulated settlement. A stipulated settlement is a binding written agreement between you and the government regarding the matters

charged and the discipline to be imposed. Such a stipulation would have to be approved by the Board of Psychology but, once approved, it would be incorporated into a final order.

Any stipulation must be consistent with the Board's established disciplinary guidelines; however, all matters in mitigation or aggravation will be considered. A copy of the Board's Disciplinary Guidelines will be provided to you on your written request to the state agency bringing this action.

If you are interested in pursuing this alternative to a formal administrative hearing, or if you have any questions, you or your attorney should contact Deputy Attorney General Stephen M. Boreman at the earliest opportunity.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the	Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. X48
	NLEY D. BLONDEK	NOTICE OF DEFENSE
	Trumbauer Way Frove, CA 195758	[Gov. Code §§ 11505 and 11506]
		[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[
	Respondent.	
and 1	I, the undersigned Respondent in the above-enti- of the Statement of Issues; Statement to Responde 1507.7, Notice from Respondent / Applicant, and I hereby request a hearing to permit me to presentent of Issues.	Complainant's Request for Discovery.
Diator	nont of issues.	
	DATED:	
	Respondent's Name	
	Respondent's Signature	·
	Respondent's Mailing Address	
	City, State and Zip Code	
	Respondent's Telephone Number	
Chec	k appropriate box:	
<u> </u>	I am represented by counsel, whose name, addre Counsel's Name	ess and telephone number appear below:
	Counsel's Mailing Address	
	City, State and Zip Code	
	Counsel's Telephone Number	
	I am not now represented by counsel. If and whethe attorney's name, address and telephone numerous descriptions and a copy sent to countries and to receive legal notices, pleadings and of	sel for Complainant so that counsel will be on

1

The agency taking the action described in the Statement of Issues may have formulated guidelines to assist the administrative law judge in reaching an appropriate penalty. You may obtain a

copy of the guidelines by requesting them from the agency in writing.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In th	ne Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. X48
	NLEY D. BLONDEK	NOTICE OF DEFENSE
	5 Trumbauer Way Grove, CA 95758	
TO IK	Glove, CA 3,3736	[Gov. Code §§ 11505 and 11506]
	Responden	t.
copy and	I, the undersigned Respondent in the above-er of the Statement of Issues; Statement to Respondent / Applicant, a	entitled proceeding, hereby acknowledge receipt of a ndent; Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6 and Complainant's Request for Discovery.
State	I hereby request a hearing to permit me to proment of Issues.	esent my defense to the charges contained in the
	DATED:	
	Respondent's Name	
	Respondent's Signature	
	Respondent's Mailing Address	
	City, State and Zip Code	
	Respondent's Telephone Number	
Chec	k appropriate box:	
	I am represented by counsel, whose name, ad Counsel's Name	dress and telephone number appear below:
	Counsel's Mailing Address	
	City, State and Zip Code	
	Counsel's Telephone Number	
	the attorney's name, address and telephone nu	unsel for Complainant so that counsel will be on
	The agency taking the action described in the clines to assist the administrative law judge in resofthe guidelines by requesting them from the action described in the	aching an appropriate penalty. You may obtain a

COPY OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11507.5, 11507.6 AND 11507.7 PROVIDED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11504 AND 11505

SECTION 11507.5: Exclusivity of discovery provisions

The provisions of Section 11507.6 provide the exclusive right to and method of discovery as to any proceeding governed by this chapter.

SECTION 11507.6: Request for discovery

After initiation of a proceeding in which a respondent or other party is entitled to a hearing on the merits, a party, upon written request made to another party, prior to the hearing and within 30 days after service by the agency of the initial pleading or within 15 days after the service of an additional pleading, is entitled to (1) obtain the names and addresses of witnesses to the extent known to the other party, including, but not limited to, those intended to be called to testify at the hearing, and (2) inspect and make a copy of any of the following in the possession or custody or under the control of the other party:

- (a) A statement of a person, other than the respondent, named in the initial administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the act or omission of the respondent as to this person is the basis for the administrative proceeding;
- (b) A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any party to another party or person;
- (c) Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the party and of other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;
- (d) All writings, including, but not limited to, reports of mental, physical and blood examinations and things which the party then proposes to offer in evidence;
- (e) Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible in evidence;
- (f) Investigative reports made by or on behalf of the agency or other party pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that these reports (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

For the purpose of this section, "statements" include written statements by the person signed or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical, electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the person, and written reports or summaries of these oral statements.

Nothing in this section shall authorize the inspection or copying of any writing or thing which is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as the attorney's work product.

SECTION 11507.7: Petition to compel discovery; Order; Sanctions

- (a) Any party claiming the party's request for discovery pursuant to Section 11507.6 has not been complied with may serve and file with the administrative law judge a motion to compel discovery, naming as respondent the party refusing or failing to comply with Section 11507.6. The motion shall state facts showing the respondent party failed or refused to comply with Section 11507.6, a description of the matters sought to be discovered, the reason or reasons why the matter is discoverable under that section, that a reasonable and good faith attempt to contact the respondent for an informal resolution of the issue has been made, and the ground or grounds of respondent's refusal so far as known to the moving party.
- (b) The motion shall be served upon respondent party and filed within 15 days after the respondent party first evidenced failure or refusal to comply with Section 11507.6 or within 30 days after request was made and the party has failed to reply to the request, or within another time provided by stipulation, whichever period is longer.
- (c) The hearing on the motion to compel discovery shall be held within 15 days after the motion is made, or a later time that the administrative law judge may on the judge's own motion for good cause determine. The respondent party shall have the right to serve and file a written answer or other response to the motion before or at the time of the hearing.
- (d) Where the matter sought to be discovered is under the custody or control of the respondent party and the respondent party asserts that the matter is not a discoverable matter under the provisions of Section 11507.6, or is privileged against disclosure under those provisions, the administrative law judge may order lodged with it matters provided in subdivision (b) of Section 915 of the Evidence Code and examine the matters in accordance with its provisions.
- (e) The administrative law judge shall decide the case on the matters examined in camera, the papers filed by the parties, and such oral argument and additional evidence as the administrative law judge may allow.
- (f) Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the administrative law judge shall no later than 15 days after the hearing make its order denying or granting the motion. The order shall be in writing setting forth the matters the moving party is entitled to discover under Section 11507.6. A copy of the order shall forthwith be served by mail by the administrative law judge upon the parties. Where the order grants the motion in whole or in part, the order shall not become effective until 10 days after the date the order is served. Where the order denies relief to the moving party, the order shall be effective on the date it is served.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:

Stanley D. Blondek

No.: X48

I, the undersigned, declare that I am over 18 years of age and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 1422 Howe Avenue, Sacramento, California 95825. I served a true copy of the attached:

STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT; STATEMENT OF ISSUES; GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11507.5, 11507.6 AND 11507.7; NOTICE OF DEFENSE (2 COPIES); REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY; AND DISCIPLINARY GUIDELINES

by mail on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope (or envelopes) addressed (respectively) as follows:

NAME AND ADDRESS

CERT NO.

Stanley D. Blondek 9085 Trumbauer Way Elk Grove, CA 95758 7001 2510 0001 2147 4661

Stephen D. Boreman Deputy Attorney General 1300 I St., Ste. 125 Sacramento, CA 95814

Each said envelope was then, on <u>April 17, 2002</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States mail at Sacramento, California, the county in which I am employed, as certified mail, with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and return receipt requested.

Executed on, <u>April 17, 2002</u>, at Sacramento, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Mary Laackmann

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY **SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION** A. Received by (Please Print Clearly) B. Date of Delivery ■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete STAN BLONDER Kom 43002 item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ☐ Agent Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, Addressee or on the front if space permits. D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes 1. Article Addressed to: If YES, enter delivery address below: Stanley D. Blondek Service Type 9085 Trumbauer Way Certified Mail
Registered ☐ Express Mail Elk Grove, CA 95758 ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes Article Number (Copy from service label) 102595-00-M-0952

		Asse print your mame, address, and ZIP+4 in this box
RECEIVED BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY	02 MAY -1 PM 2: 35	Board of Psychology 1422 Howe Ave., Ste. 22 Sacramento, CA 95825-3200 ATTN: Mary Laackmann

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Filed Against:

Stanley D. Blondek

No.: X48

I, the undersigned, declare that I am over 18 years of age and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 1422 Howe Avenue, Ste. 22 Sacramento, California 95825. I served a true copy of the attached:

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

by mail on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope (or envelopes) addressed (respectively) as follows:

NAME AND ADDRESS

CERT NO.

Stanley D. Blondek 9085 Trumbauer Way Elk Grove, CA 95758 7001 2510 0001 2147 4333

Stephen M. Boreman Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

Each said envelope was then on, <u>July 18, 2002</u>, sealed and deposited in the United States mail at Sacramento, California, the county in which I am employed, as certified mail, with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and return receipt requested.

Executed on, <u>July 18, 2002</u>, at Sacramento, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Mary Laackmann Enforcement Analyst